UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,769	04/02/2004	Daisuke Yahata	360842009710	9944
Barry E. Bretsc	7590 05/04/200 hneider	EXAMINER		
Morrison & Foo Suite 300		JUSKA, CHERYL ANN		
1650 Tysons Boulevard McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/815,769	YAHATA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cheryl Juska	1794			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 F</u> o	ebruary 2009				
· ·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
- ,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>22-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-24</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/20/08</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/815,769 Page 2

Art Unit: 1794

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 22-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over WO 00/65140 issued to Matsunaga et al. as set forth in sections 5 and 6 of the last Office Action (Non-Final Rejection mailed 08/13/08).
- 3. Claims 22-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over US 2003/0152743 issued to Matsunaga et al. as set forth in sections 5 and 6 of the last Office Action (Non-Final Rejection mailed 08/13/08).

[Note US 2003/0152743 is the English language equivalent of the Japanese language WO 00/65140.]

Applicant has not amended the claims to overcome the prior art. Rather, applicant has submitted a Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by Osamu Mito with the response filed on February 13, 2009. Said declaration reproduced Matsunaga's one-step process of Example 1 with three variations in drawing rate (Declaration, section 4). The resulting Matsunaga samples did not possess the presently claimed breaking strength (Table of Declaration). Applicant concludes that the results show that the two-step process of the presently claimed invention and the one-step process of Matsunaga produce yarns with different characteristics (Declaration, section 6 and Remarks, paragraph spanning pages 2-3). As such, applicant believes the present 102/103 rejections should be withdrawn (Remarks, page 3, 1st paragraph).

In response, the results of the Mito Declaration are not disputed. However, the declaration and applicant's remarks do not offset the evidence provided in applicant's own specification. Specifically, applicant's Example 12, comprising a multifilament crimped polylactic acid yarn made by a one step process (i.e., no second drawing step), has a crimp elongation, boiling water shrinkage, and breaking strength within the ranges claimed by applicant. Since Example 12 is fully encompassed by the disclosure of Matsunaga, said example contradicts applicant's conclusion obtained from the Mito Declaration. In other words, Example 12 of applicant's own specification evidences that a yarn having the presently claimed properties is not limited to being produced by the claimed two step process. As such, the claimed two-step process limitations are not given patentable weight at this time, since a like product can be obtained from a one-step process (e.g., Example 12). Even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. Therefore, the above rejections are maintained.

## Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/815,769 Page 4

Art Unit: 1794

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 571-272-1477. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can

be reached at 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Cheryl Juska/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794

CI

May 4, 2009